

Comment Set C.64: Peg Spry

From: Boccio, John [mailto:JBX@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, September 12, 2006 3:44 PM
To: JDavidson@AspenEG.com
Subject: FW: Request for extension of comment period for Antelope-Pardee EIR/EIS

From: Peg Spry [mailto:pegspry@socal.rr.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 05, 2006 2:37 PM
To: Boccio, John
Cc: Halligan, Julie
Subject: Re: Request for extension of comment period for Antelope-Pardee EIR/EIS

My letter of September 1, 2006 was originally sent to Administrative Law Judge Halligan as well a copy to you at the CPUC. I believe my original letter stated that we in Agua Dulce had not been noticed of any of the pre EIR/EIS meetings, NOP nor was anyone from Agua Dulce notified or including any scoping or informational meeting prior to the Los Angeles Times notice of the August 30th meeting to beheld in Agua Dulce. We were totally "left out of the loop". Nor at that time was there any indication of a Proposed Route # 5 on the CPUC web site that carried the Antelope-Pardee EIR/EIS. I see that Route #5 has been added since the August 30 th meeting. Yes, Route #5 was included in the material handed out at the August 30th meeting, which meant it was our/my first exposure to the Proposed Route #5.

C.64-1

Being a member of the Agua Dulce Town Council, I am responsible to be informed and able to assist my community in understanding and making decisions on issues that impact our way of life. We as a community need to have time to become informed and time to make comment on an issue that will greatly impact our lives and the lives of those who will follow us. I asked for a 60 day continuance from September 18, 2006 in my oral testimony on August 30th and again in my initial E-mail to Administrative Law Judge Halligan. Please feel free to forward this request to whomever you believe should receive it.

C.64-2

Thank you,
Peg Spry
10835 Sierra Hwy.
Agua Dulce, Ca. 91390
pegspry@socal.rr.com

Response to Comment Set C.64: Peg Spry

- C.64-1 Please see General Response GR-5 regarding noticing procedures and the review period for the Draft EIR/EIS.
- C.64-2 On September 13, the CPUC, as the CEQA Lead Agency, and the USDA Forest Service, as the NEPA Lead Agency, extended the public review period for the Project from 45 days to 60 days, now ending on October 3, 2006.